


1 **ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO**, City Attorney (SBN125465x)  
2 **MICHAEL L. CLAESSENS**, Managing Asst. City Attorney  
3 **CORY M. BRENT**, Supervising Assistant City Attorney  
4 **RENA M. SHAHANDEH**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 198072)  
5 **WENDY SHAPERO**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 198739)  
200 North Main Street, 6th Floor, City Hall East  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
E-mail: wendy.shapero@lacity.org  
Telephone No.: (213) 978-7041 Fax No.: (213) 978-8785



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MARIA SMITH and STEVEN  
SMITH,

*Plaintiffs,*

Case No. CV 06-5978 JWJ  
Honorable Judge Jeffrey W. Johnson

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

Los Angeles Police Department  
Detective \_\_\_\_\_ Velasco, in his  
professional and persona capacities.

Los Angeles Police Department  
Detective Oakley, in his  
professional and personal capacities.

## Los Angeles Police Department

Detective Aguayo, in

his professional and personal capacities,

Los Angeles Police Department  
Lieutenant                            Storaker,  
in his professional and personal  
capacities.

Los Angeles Police Department  
Officers, Does 1-50, in their  
professional and personal capacities.

Los Angeles Police Chief William Bratton, in his professional and personal capacities,

**CONTINUED BELOW,**

**CONTINUED BELOW.**

1  
2 The Los Angeles Police Department,  
3 an agency of the City of Los Angeles,  
4 County of Los Angeles, State of  
California  
5 The City of Los Angeles, County of  
6 Los Angeles, State of California, a  
municipal corporation  
7 John or Jane Does 51 - 100  
Defendants.

10 The Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendant City of Los Angeles came on  
11 regularly for hearing on April 10, 2008 before the Honorable Jeffrey W. Johnson.  
12 Plaintiffs were represented by Mark A. Massey, Esq.. Defendant City of Los Angeles  
13 was represented by Deputy City Attorneys Wendy Shapero and Rena Shahandeh.

14 After considering the moving, opposing, and reply papers, and having heard the  
15 arguments of counsel, the Court issued its Order Granting Defendant's Motion for  
16 Summary Judgment in Part and dismissed with prejudice the federal claims (claims one  
17 through five) against the City of Los Angeles. The Court further ordered claims one  
18 through five dismissed against the unserved defendant officers without prejudice due to  
19 plaintiffs' failure to prosecute. Finally, the Court declined to exercise supplemental  
20 jurisdiction over the state causes of action (claims six through ten) and dismissed those  
21 claims against all defendants without prejudice. A copy of the Order is attached to this  
22 judgment and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

## JUDGMENT

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:**

1. That Judgment be, and hereby is entered in favor of the defendants and

